

Comments on the Referee report on "On the hyperbolicity of random graphs"

We would like to thank for valuable suggestions. We went over the paper carefully, revised slightly the introduction. In particular, we also took into account the referee comments:

1. The points in the figure were swapped
2. The referee is right, this is an inequality only
3. We did not put boldface here, since we think it is clear as is
4. We checked it and we think the error term currently in the paper is ok
5. The proof finishes at the point as indicated in the paper, we think
6. To clarify, we say that it is the argument of the previous claim that is used, not the claim itself
7. The referee is right
8. We mention explicitly the properties here and in the following case
9. The referee is right
10. We let all probabilities to be $1+o(1)$, since $o(1)$ by definition can also be a negative term. There is a corresponding comment in the introduction.

Thank you,

— Dieter Mitsche and Paweł Pralat